

JOURNAL OF APPROXIMATION THEORY **50**, 359–365 (1987)

On Some Nikolskiĭ- and Oswald-type Inequalities

HELENA MUSIELAK

*Krasinskiego 8D, 60-830 Poznan, Poland**Communicated by R. Bojanic*

Received July 25, 1984

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF GÉZA FREUD

Inequalities of Nikolskiĭ (Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklova 38 (1951), 2.3, p. 255) in $L_{2\pi}^p$, $p \geq 1$, and of Oswald (Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 7 (1976), (3.4), p. 71; Theorem 1, p. 69), $0 < p < 1$, are extended to the case of Orlicz spaces $L_{2\pi}^p$. © 1987 Academic Press, Inc.

1

Let $\varphi: \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ be a function of class Φ (see [3, 7.1]), generating the generalized Orlicz space $L_{2\pi}^\varphi$ and convex with respect to the second variable and let us extend φ to $R \times R_+$ 2π -periodically. The function φ is said to satisfy the condition (A), if there is a set $A^0 \subset R$, $\text{mes } A^0 = 0$, and numbers $\bar{c} > 0$, $M > 0$ and a measurable function $F_1: (R \setminus A^0) \times (R \setminus A^0) \rightarrow R_+$ such that $\varphi(x, u) \leq \varphi(t, \bar{c}u) + F_1(t, x)$ for all $u \geq 0$, $t, x \in R \setminus A^0$, and $\iint_Q F_1(t, x) dt dx \leq M \text{mes } Q$ for every square Q in $\langle 0, 2\pi \rangle \times \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$. The function φ is said to satisfy the condition (B_η) with an $\eta > 0$, if there exist a set $A \subset \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$, $\text{mes } A = 0$, a constant $c > 0$, and a nonnegative, 2π -periodic, measurable function $F(\cdot, h)$ on R for $|h| \leq \eta$, satisfying the inequality $S_\eta = \sup_{|h| \leq \eta} \int_0^{2\pi} F(t, h) dt < \infty$ such that $\varphi(t - h, u) \leq \varphi(t, cu) + F(t, h)$ for $u \geq 0$, $t \in \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle \setminus A$ (see [1]). It is easily seen that if φ satisfies B_π , then it satisfies also (B_η) for any $\eta > \pi$ with the same set A , constant c and $S_\eta = S_\pi$. Obviously, if $\varphi(t, u)$ is independent of the parameter t , it satisfies both (A) and (B_η) for all $\eta > 0$.

2

The following notation will be adopted. Taking a positive integer N fixed and $x_j = 2\pi jN^{-1}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, we write for any N -dimensional real vector $\bar{v} = v_0, \dots, v_{N-1}$,

$$\rho_\varphi^{(N)}(\bar{v}) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \varphi(t, |v_j|) dt.$$

This is a convex modular in R^N , and the Luxemburg norm $\|\cdot\|_{\rho_\varphi^{(N)}}$ generated by this modular ([3, 1.5]) will be further denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^{(N)}$. Let T_n be a trigonometric polynomial of degree $\leq n$, and let $\bar{v} \in R^N$, $T_n \bar{v} = (T_n(v_0), \dots, T_n(v_{N-1}))$. Thus, $\rho_\varphi^{(N)}(T_n \bar{v})$ defines a convex pseudo-modular in the space H_n of all trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$, generating a pseudonorm $\|T_n \bar{v}\|_\varphi^{(N)}$, \bar{v} being fixed. We define in H_n also another convex modular

$$\rho_\varphi^N(T_n) = \sup_x \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \varphi(t, |T_n(x + x_j)|) dt,$$

defining in H_n the Luxemburg norm $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^N = \|\cdot\|_{\rho_\varphi^N}$.

3

The purpose of the first part of this note is to estimate the pseudonorm $\|T_n \bar{x}\|_\varphi^{(N)}$ with $\bar{x} = x_0, \dots, x_{N-1}$ and the norm $\|T_n\|_\varphi^N$, by means of the Luxemburg norm $\|T_n\|_\varphi$ of T_n in the generalized Orlicz space $L_{2\pi}^\varphi$, generated by the modular $\rho(f) = \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(t, |f(t)|) dt$.

LEMMA 1. *Let φ be a convex function of the class Φ , satisfying (A) and (B_π) , and let $T_n \in H_n$. Then there holds*

$$\|T_n \bar{x}\|_\varphi^{(N)} \leq (1 + 2\pi n N^{-1} C_1) \|T_n\|_\varphi, \quad (1)$$

where $C_1 = 2\bar{c} \max(1, S_\pi + 2\pi M)$. In case of $\varphi(t, u)$ independent of the parameter t ,

$$\|T_n \bar{x}\|_\varphi^{(N)} \leq (1 + 2\pi n N^{-1}) \|T_n\|_\varphi. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let $\eta_j \in \langle x_j, x_{j+1} \rangle$ be chosen so that $|T_n(\eta_j)| = \min_{x_j \leq t \leq x_{j+1}} |T_n(t)|$, $\bar{\eta} = \eta_0, \dots, \eta_{N-1}$. Then $\rho_\varphi^N(u^{-1} T_n \bar{\eta}) \leq \rho_\varphi(u^{-1} T_n)$ for every $u > 0$, whence $\|T_n \bar{\eta}\|_\varphi^{(N)} \leq \|T_n\|_\varphi$. Hence

$$\|T_n \bar{x}\|_\varphi^{(N)} \leq \|T_n \bar{x} - T_n \bar{\eta}\|_\varphi^{(N)} + \|T_n \bar{\eta}\|_\varphi^{(N)} \leq \|T_n \bar{x} - T_n \bar{\eta}\|_\varphi^{(N)} + \|T_n\|_\varphi. \quad (3)$$

Let $u > 0$ and $d \geq 1$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\rho_\varphi^{(N)}\left(\frac{T_n \bar{x} - T_n \bar{\eta}}{du}\right) \leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \varphi\left\{t, \frac{1}{u} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} |T_n'(s)| ds\right\} dt.$$

Now, by Jensen's inequality with a fixed t and by condition (A), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left\{t, \frac{1}{u} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} |T_n'(s)| ds\right\} &\leq \frac{N}{2\pi} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \varphi\left\{s, \frac{2\pi\bar{c}}{Nu} |T_n'(s)|\right\} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{N}{2\pi} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} F(s, t) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now, under the assumption (B_π) there holds the following Bernstein inequality: $\rho_\varphi(n^{-1}T_n) \leq \rho_\varphi(cT_n) + S_\pi$ (see [1, Proposition 2]). Hence we obtain easily

$$\rho_\varphi^{(N)}\left(\frac{T_n\bar{x} - T_n\bar{\eta}}{du}\right) \leq \rho_\varphi\left(\frac{2\pi\bar{c}c}{Nu}T_n\right) + \frac{1}{d}C,$$

where $C = S_\pi + 2\pi M$. Now let $d = \max(1, C)$. Then

$$\rho_\varphi^{(N)}\left(\frac{T_n\bar{x} - T_n\bar{\eta}}{2du}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho_\varphi\left(\frac{2\pi\bar{c}c}{Nu}T_n\right) + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4)$$

From this inequality follows that if $u > 2\pi\bar{c}cnN^{-1}$, then the left-hand side of the inequality (4) is ≤ 1 . Hence

$$\|T_n\bar{x} - T_n\bar{\eta}\|_\varphi^{(N)} \leq 4\pi\bar{c}cdnN^{-1} \|T_n\|_\varphi.$$

From this and from (3) follows (1). If φ does not depend on t , we have $M = S_\pi = 0$, $c = \bar{c} = d = 1$, and we get (2).

THEOREM 1. *Let φ be a convex function of the class Φ , satisfying (A) and (B_π) , and let $T_n \in H_n$. Then*

$$\|T_n\|_\varphi^N \leq (1 + 2\pi nN^{-1}C_1) C_2 \|T_n\|_\varphi, \quad (5)$$

where C_1 is the same as in Lemma 1 and $C_2 = 2c \max(1, S_\pi)$ for $S_\pi \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $C_2 = c(1 - S_\pi)^{-1}$ for $0 \leq S_\pi < \frac{1}{2}$. In case of $\varphi(t, u)$ independent of t we have

$$\|T_n\|_\varphi^N \leq (1 + 2\pi nN^{-1}) \|T_n\|_\varphi.$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 1 to $S_n(\cdot) = T_n(x + \cdot)$ with fixed x , obtaining $\|S_n\bar{x}\|_\varphi^{(N)} \leq (1 + 2\pi nN^{-1}C_1) \|S_n\|_\varphi$. However, due to the assumption (B_π) we have $\|S_n\|_\varphi \leq C_2 \|T_n\|_\varphi$ (see [2, Theorem 1]), whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n\bar{x}\|_\varphi^{(N)} &\leq (1 + 2\pi nN^{-1}C_1) C_2 \|T_n\|_\varphi, \\ \rho_\varphi^{(N)}\left(\frac{\delta S_n\bar{x}}{(1 + 2\pi nN^{-1}C_1) C_2 \|T_n\|_\varphi}\right) &\leq \delta < 1 \quad \text{for } 0 < \delta < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 1$ and then taking supremum over x , we get

$$\rho_\varphi^{(N)}\left(\frac{T_n}{(1 + 2\pi nN^{-1}C_1) C_2 \|T_n\|_\varphi}\right) \leq 1,$$

which yields (5). If φ does not depend on t , we see easily that we may take $C_1 = C_2 = 1$.

Let us remark that if $\varphi(t, u)$ is independent of t , then obviously $\rho_\varphi(T_n) \leq \rho_\varphi^N(T_n)$, whence $\|T_n\|_\varphi \leq \|T_n\|_\varphi^N$. This gives

COROLLARY. *If φ is a convex φ -function (see [3, 1.9]) independent of the parameter and if $T_n \in H_n$, then*

$$\|T_n\|_\varphi \leq \|T_n\|_\varphi^N \leq (1 + 2\pi n N^{-1}) \|T_n\|_\varphi.$$

If $\varphi(u) = |u|^p$, $p \geq 1$, the corollary gives exactly the Nikolskiĭ inequalities in case of one variable.

4

We shall now assume φ to be a concave φ -function without parameter, strongly s -convex with an $s \in (0, 1]$, i.e., $\tilde{\varphi}(u) = \varphi(u^{1/s})$ is a convex function. Then for $T_n \in H_n$ we have

$$\rho_\varphi^N(T_n) = \frac{2\pi}{N} \sup_x \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi(|T_n(x + x_j)|);$$

by $\|T_n\|_\varphi^{N,s}$ we denote the respective s -homogeneous norm in H_n (see [3, 1.5]). Besides $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^{N,s}$ we shall consider in H_n also the s -homogeneous norm $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^s$ induced in H_n by $L_{2\pi}^\varphi$ generated by φ . The following is easily calculated:

LEMMA 2. *If $\tilde{\psi}$ is a convex φ -function and*

$$K_{1,n}(t) = \left(\frac{\sin(1/2)nt}{n \sin(1/2)t} \right)^2 \quad \text{for } 0 < t < 2\pi,$$

then for every $C > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{\psi}(CK_{1,n}(t)) dt \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi^3 \psi(4C\pi^{-2}) n^{-1}.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let φ be a concave, strongly s -convex φ -function without parameter, $0 < s \leq 1$, satisfying the condition (A_2) : $\psi(u) = \sup_{v>0} \varphi(uv)/\varphi(v) < \infty$ for all $u > 0$. Let $\tilde{\psi}(u) = \psi(u^{(2r-1)/2})$, $u \geq 0$, with an integer $r \geq (s+2)/2s$. Then for every $T_n \in H_n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\varphi(T_n) &\leq \rho_\varphi^N(T_n) \leq 2^r \tilde{\psi}(1 + 2\pi n N^{-1}) \rho_\varphi(T_n), \\ \|T_n\|_\varphi^s &\leq \|T_n\|_\varphi^{N,s} \leq 2^r \tilde{\psi}(1 + 2\pi n N^{-1}) \|T_n\|_\varphi^s. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The left-hand side inequalities follow as in the remark to Theorem 1. To prove the right-hand ones, we denote $t_k^{(n)} = (2k+1)\pi/2n$ for $k=0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$. Then, applying Lemma 2 from [5, 1.7, p. 68], sub-additivity of φ and the definition of ψ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi(|T_n(x+x_j)|) \\ & \leq \frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} |T_n(t_k^{(2^{r-1}n)})| |K_{1,n}(x+x_j+t_k^{(2^{r-1}n)})|^{(2r-1)/2} \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \varphi(|T_n(t_k^{(2^{r-1}n)})|) \frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \psi(|K_{1,n}(x+x_j-t_k^{(2^{r-1}n)})|^{(2r-1)/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $r \geq (s+2)/2s$, so $p = 2/(2r-1) \leq 1$. Since φ is strongly s -convex, so is ψ ; hence ψ is also strongly p -convex, whence $\tilde{\psi}$ is convex. Moreover,

$$\frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \psi(|K_{1,n}(x+x_j-t_k^{(2^{r-1}n)})|^{(2r-1)/2}) \leq \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}^N(K_{1,n}).$$

Hence

$$\frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi(|T_n(x+x_j)|) \leq \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}^N(K_{1,n}) \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \varphi(|T_n(t_k^{(2^{r-1}n)})|)$$

for every x . Now, let $\eta_j \in \langle x_j, x_{j+1} \rangle$ be as in the proof of Lemma 1 and let $\rho_{\varphi}^{(N)}(\bar{v})$ be as in 2, with $\tilde{\psi}$ in place of φ . Then $\rho_{\tilde{\psi}}^{(N)}(T_n \bar{\eta}) \leq \rho_{\psi}(T_n)$. Hence

$$\rho_{\tilde{\psi}}^{(N)}(T_n \bar{x}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}^{(N)}(2T_n \bar{x} - 2T_n \bar{\eta}) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}(2T_n).$$

Calculating as in the proof of Lemma 1 with $d=1$ and $u=\frac{1}{2}$ and applying Bernstein inequality, we obtain

$$\rho_{\tilde{\psi}}^{(N)}(2T_n \bar{x} - 2T_n \bar{\eta}) \leq \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}(4\pi n N^{-1} T_n).$$

Hence

$$\rho_{\tilde{\psi}}^{(N)}(T_n \bar{x}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}(4\pi n N^{-1} T_n) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}(2T_n).$$

Applying this inequality to $S_n(\cdot) = T_n(x + \cdot)$ with a fixed x , we obtain

$$\frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \tilde{\psi}(|T_n(x+x_j)|) \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}(4\pi n N^{-1} T_n) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}(2T_n).$$

Taking supremum over all x , we get

$$\rho_{\tilde{\psi}}^N(T_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}(4\pi n N^{-1} T_n) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\tilde{\psi}}(2T_n)$$

for every $T_n \in H_n$. Now, we apply this inequality to $K_{1,n} \in H_n$ in place of T_n . By Lemma 2 and superadditivity of $\tilde{\psi}$, we thus obtain

$$\rho_{\tilde{\psi}}^N(K_{1,n}) \leq \frac{\pi^3}{4n} \left(\tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{16n}{N\pi} \right) + \tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{8}{\pi^2} \right) \right) \leq \frac{2\pi}{n} \tilde{\psi} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi n}{N} \right).$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi(|T_n(x+x_j)|) \leq \frac{2\pi}{n} \tilde{\psi} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi n}{N} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \varphi(|T_n(t_k^{(2^{r-1}n)})|).$$

Taking supremum over all x , we obtain

$$\rho_{\varphi}^N(T_n) \leq 2^r \tilde{\psi} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi n}{N} \right) \frac{2\pi}{2^n n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \varphi(|T_n(t_k^{(2^{r-1}n)})|).$$

Writing $S_n(\cdot) = T_n(x + \cdot)$ for an arbitrary x , we have obviously $\rho_{\varphi}^N(S_n) = \rho_{\varphi}^N(T_n)$. Hence, applying the above inequality to S_n in place of T_n , we get

$$\rho_{\varphi}^N(T_n) = \rho_{\varphi}^N(S_n) \leq 2^r \tilde{\psi} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi n}{N} \right) \frac{2\pi}{2^n n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \varphi(|T_n(x + t_k^{(2^{r-1}n)})|).$$

Integrating both sides over $\langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$, we obtain

$$2\pi \rho_{\varphi}^N(T_n) \leq 2^{r+1} \tilde{\psi} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi n}{N} \right) \rho_{\varphi}(T_n),$$

which is the first of the required inequalities. The second inequality follows easily from the first one.

Let us remark that taking $\varphi(u) = |u|^p$, $0 < p < 1$, Theorem 2 yields the inequalities of Oswald [5, 3.4, p. 71].

5

THEOREM 3. *Let φ be a concave, s -convex function (see [3, 1.9.1]) depending on the parameter, satisfying (B_{π}) and the condition (A_2) : $\psi(t, u) = \sup_{v>0} \varphi(t, uv)/\varphi(t, v) < \infty$ for all $u \geq 0$ and $t \in \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$. Then there exists a $C > 0$ such that for every $T_n \in H_n$ there holds*

$$\|T_n^v\|_{\varphi}^s \leq C^s n^{sv} \|T_n\|_{\varphi}^s \quad \text{for } v = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Obviously, it is sufficient to perform the proof for $v = 1$. Since φ is s -convex, so are ψ and $\bar{\psi}(u) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 2\pi} \psi(t, u)$, $\bar{\psi}(1) = 1$. Choosing a fixed positive integer r such that $2rs > 1$, we thus obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi} \left(\frac{1}{(2k+1)^{2r}} \right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^{2rs}} \bar{\psi}(1) < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Taking t_k^n as in the proof of Theorem 2 and applying the inequality

$$|T_n'(x)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{2^n}{4n^{2r}} |T_n(x + t_k^{(2^n-1)n})| (\sin \frac{1}{2} t_k^{(2^n-1)n})^{-2r}$$

(see [5, p. 69]), subadditivity of φ and inequality (6) give for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\rho_\varphi(\lambda T_n') \leq 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi \left(\frac{1}{(2k+1)^{2rs}} \right) \rho_\varphi(\lambda^{2r^2+r-2} n T_n(\cdot + t_k^{(2^n-1)n})). \quad (7)$$

Now, by [2, Theorem 1], we have

$$\|\lambda^{2r^2+r-2} n T_n(\cdot + t_k^{(2^n-1)n})\|_\varphi^s \leq C_2 \lambda^{2(2r^2+r-2)s} n^s \|T_n\|_\varphi^s,$$

where C_2 is as in Theorem 1. Choosing

$$\lambda = \{2^{2r^2+r-2} n C_2^{1/s} (\|T_n\|_\varphi^s)^{1/s}\}^{-1}, \quad (8)$$

the left-hand side of the last inequality becomes ≤ 1 and so, by (7), we obtain

$$\rho_\varphi(\lambda T_n') \leq 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^{2rs}}.$$

If C_3 is the maximum of 1 and of the right-hand side of the last inequality, we get $\rho_\varphi(\lambda T_n') \leq C_3$, $C_3 \geq 1$. By s -convexity of φ , $\rho_\varphi(\lambda C_3^{-1/s} T_n') \leq 1$. Hence $\|T_n'\|_\varphi^s \leq \lambda^{-s} C_3 = C n^s \|T_n\|_\varphi^s$, where $C = 2^{(2r^2+r-2)s} C_2 C_3$.

Let us remark that taking $\varphi(u) = |u|^p$, $0 < p < 1$, we obtain the Bernstein-type inequality of Oswald [5, 2.2, p. 70].

Theorems 2 and 3 may be applied to estimate the averaged moduli of smoothness in $L_{2\pi}^\varphi$ by means of best one-sided approximations by trigonometric polynomials in $L_{2\pi}^\varphi$.

REFERENCES

1. H. MUSIELAK, On the τ -modulus of smoothness in generalized Orlicz spaces, *Comm. Math.*, in press.
2. H. MUSIELAK, On some inequalities in spaces of integrable functions, in "Proc. Int. Conf. Constructive Function Theory, Varna," 28 May-1 June 1984, Sofia 1984, 629-633.
3. J. MUSIELAK, Orlicz spaces and modular spaces, *Lecture Notes in Math.* Vol. 1034, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1983.
4. S. M. NIKOLSKIĬ, Inequalities for entire functions of finite type and their applications in the theory of differentiable functions of several variables, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklova* **38**(1951), 244-278. [Russian]
5. P. OSWALD, Some inequalities for trigonometric polynomials in L_p -metric, $0 < p < 1$, *Izv. Vyssh Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **7** (1976), 65-75. [Russian]